

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DERARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bax 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usno.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,332		11/04/2003	Ghasi R. Agrawal	03-1343 5874		
24319	7590	05/11/2006		EXAMINER		
		ORATION	nguyen, steve n			
1621 BARI MS: D-106		E		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MILPITAS	, CA 95	035	2138			
				DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summers	10/701,332	AGRAWAL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Steve Nguyen	2138						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No	ovember 2003		÷					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		0.0.2.0.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/4/2003.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/701,332

Art Unit: 2138

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-14 are currently pending and have been examined.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because they are informal. Figs. 1 and 2 show flow diagrams in which the written descriptions are not properly aligned and contained within their corresponding blocks. Fig. 3 is informal and partially hand-drawn. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Art Unit: 2138

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 8 objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1 and 8 should be properly indented in order to distinguish the body of the claims from the preamble. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McClure (US Pat. 5,841,709).

As per claims 1 and 8:

McClure discloses a BISR mode for testing memory, said BISR mode comprising:

 means for testing all redundant elements of the memory including the ones which are not used (col. 7, lines 42-45); and Application/Control Number: 10/701,332

Art Unit: 2138

 means for checking interaction between redundant elements of the memory and adjacent functional memory (col. 8, lines 3-7 and 25-28; the interaction between the redundant memory and the failed memory that it replaced is tested).

Page 4

As per claims 2 and 9:

McClure further teaches a BISR mode as recited in claim 1, further comprising means for using repair information to repair the memory (col. 6, lines 8-20; a redundant column select signal is repair information).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 3-7 and 10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure in view of Tanishima et al (US Pat. 6,999,357; hereinafter referred to as Tanishima).

Art Unit: 2138

As per claims 3-7 and 10-14:

McClure teaches a BISR mode as recited above. Not explicitly disclosed by McClure is forcing usage of redundant elements which are not needed to be used for repairing the memory; or faking defects to remap good elements with redundant elements. However, Tanishima in an analogous art teaches a system for testing a redundant memory by faking defects to force the usage of all the redundant memory cells such that good elements are remapped with redundant elements (col. 6, lines 30-44 and col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the testing method of Tanishima with that of McClure. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the teachings of Tanishima provides a simple circuit configuration for performing tests of all the redundant memory cells without writing to the redundant replacement array (Tanishima; col. 2, lines 25-30), and if defects are found in the redundant array, the teachings of McClure provide the advantage of preventing possible discarding of a functional memory array because an unmapped redundant memory cell is defective (McClure; col. 8, lines 25-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,332

Art Unit: 2138

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Nguyen Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2138

GUY LAMARRE PRIMARY EXAMINER